Office Action dated: September 28, 2004

Reply filed: December 28, 2004

Art Unit 3711

Appl. No. 10/628,442 Docket No. 3624-0120P

Page 5 of 7

REMARKS

Claims 1 and 3-8 are now present in this application.

The specification and claims 1 and 3 have been amended and claim 2

has been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer. Reconsideration of the

application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

The Examiner has noted the election of species requirement in which

species 2 of Figure 7 has been elected. As will be set forth below, a generic

claim should now be allowable. As such, the election of species requirement

should be withdrawn.

Claims 1 and 6-8 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being

anticipated by Chen, U.S. Patent 5,871,408. This rejection is respectfully

traversed.

Claim 4 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable

over Chen in view of Lee, U.S. Patent 6,319,149. This rejection is respectfully

traversed.

Applicant gratefully acknowledges that the Examiner considers the

subject matter of claims 2-3 and 5 to contain allowable subject matter.

Because limitations from claim 2 have now been incorporated in claim 1, this

claim should be in condition for allowance. Claim 2 is noted as setting forth a

stepped portion further inward from a first stepped portion in paragraph 7 of

the September 28, 2004 Office Action. The groove is located between the first

Office Action dated: September 28, 2004

Reply filed: December 28, 2004

Art Unit 3711

Appl. No. 10/628,442 Docket No. 3624-0120P

Page 6 of 7

stepped portion and the second stepped portion as now recited in independent

claim 1. As such, the second stepped portion would be further inward from

the first stepped portion.

Withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. §102(b) and §103 rejections are respectfully

requested. Favorable reconsideration and an early Notice of Allowance are

earnestly solicited.

Because the British document is being included merely to show the state

of the prior art and has not been utilized to rejection the claims, no further

comments concerning this document are considered necessary at this time.

CONCLUSION

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the

present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Joe

McKinney Muncy (Reg. No. 32,334) at the telephone number of the

undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution

in connection with the present application.

Office Action dated: September 28, 2004

Reply filed: December 28, 2004

Art Unit 3711

Appl. No. 10/628,442 Docket No. 3624-0120P

Dog 7 of 7

Page 7 of 7

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

KM/te 3624-0120P